



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,190	02/24/2004	Stephan Durach	080437.53140US	1099
23911 7590 05/23/2008 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			EXAMINER TRAN, CON P	
			ART UNIT 2615	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/23/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/784,190

Applicant(s)

DURACH ET AL.

Examiner

CON P. TRAN

Art Unit

2615

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CON P. TRAN.

(3) _____.

(2) MARK H. NEBLETT (Applicants' Attorney).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 May 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: "Breed" 296" (Breed et al., U.S. Patent 6,553,296); "Breed" 672" (Breed et al., U.S. Patent 6,778,672); and Haun et al. (U.S. Patent 5,188,445).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed about differences between a proposed amendment claim 1 and the cited prior art. Applicants' Attorney proposed to add limitation "to the interior of the vehicle" at the end of claim 1. It appears to the examiner that the proposed amendment will overcome the cited prior art. No agreement was reached. Applicants will submit a Supplemental Amendment. Examiner will perform further search and consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Vivian Chin/
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.